

## FATAL SLEIGH RIDE.

Eight Killed and as Many Badly Wounded

By a Freight Train Which Crashed Into the Party,

AT A RAILROAD CROSSING.

Headless of Danger They Drove Upon the Track,

Unable to See the Train Because of a High Wall.

The Dead and Dying Scattered About the Track Near Providence Where the Accident Occurred—Train Men and Villagers Aroused by the Awful Affair Give All the Assistance in Their Power—The Engineer Unable to Avoid the Disaster.

PROVIDENCE, R. I., Jan. 18.—At an early hour this morning a large "party sleigh" containing a happy crowd of men and women was returning from Attleboro, where the party had enjoyed supper. The party numbered about thirty people and the sleigh reached the Lonsdale grade crossing just as a freight train dashed along. There was a fearful collision and when the horrified people in the vicinity reached the scene of the catastrophe they found the tracks and roadbed strewn with the dead and dying. The dead are:

DANIEL S. RICHARDSON, ROBERT COOK, SARAH ANN DRAPER, MARY ANN FAWCETT, WILLIAM HENRY C. DEAPER, Miss ANNIE WILSON, MARY HAMILTON, ANNIE SULLIVAN, who died at the hospital today.

The badly injured are:

Mrs. Joseph A. Riley, collar bone broken. ADA YOUNG, right leg broken.

JOSEPH McKNIGHT, scalp wound. WILLIAM BRADY, ribs broken.

THOMAS WILSON, collar bone broken and head injury to back.

FANNIE SMITH, had scalp wound, compound fracture of lower jaw and fracture of nose.

Of the injured Fannie Smith is expected to die. The party comprised young people from Pawtucket and it had been previously assembled with previous acquaintance among the members. The sleigh was drawn by four horses. Leaving Pawtucket at an early hour the party proceeded to Attleboro, and the conditions of sleighing and weather being perfect, a most enjoyable time was had. A stop was made at Bates' cafe, where supper was had, and to lengthen the period of enjoyment a roundabout course was taken on the return. They were singing merrily when they approached the Lonsdale crossing on the Worcester division of the consolidated road. A high stone wall on the north side of the highway shut off the view of the railroad at this grade. When the party arrived on the crossing the headlight of a locomotive was within fifteen feet of them. This was an engine drawing the freight from Worcester, and it was traveling at the rate of eighteen miles an hour. Before the party could realize their terrible peril the engine was upon them. It crashed into the sleigh just forward of the center, smashing the vehicle and hurrying its occupants in all directions. Before the engine could reverse his lever the train plunged into the wreckage and the victims. As quickly as possible the train was brought to a stop and the crew hurried to the assistance of the unfortunate people. From all directions residents of the village hurried to the scene in response to the cries and moans of the injured. The scene was an awful one. The dead lay where they had fallen in gruesome positions. The injured writhed in pain on the snow-covered ground, and their groans were heart-rending. The villagers hastened in all directions to summon surgeons, and speedily all those within reach were upon the scene. The injured were quickly removed to neighboring houses and the dead were placed in the depot to be taken to their homes after identification. All the members of the party were more or less hurt. Those not seriously hurt were conveyed to their homes in sleighs and carriages provided by the villagers. The engineer of the freight said he slowed down as usual at the crossing, and saw the sleigh horses when it was too late to stop the train. The collision, he said, was unavoidable.

SEE KEEPERS.

They Close Their Session—New Officers

The Indiana beekeepers' association met again at the state house, Thursday morning. Governor Matthews made an address after which several papers on subjects of interest to beekeepers were read and discussed. A resolution asking the legislature to appropriate a sum of money for the purpose of making an exhibition at the world's fair of Indiana products was passed by the association, after which the election of officers followed, which resulted as follows:

President—E. Russell, Zionsville. First Vice-President—C. F. Mott, Cincinnati. Second Vice-President—John Manford, Noblesville.

Third Vice-President—E. S. Pope, Indianapolis. Fourth Vice-President—J. T. Simmons, Indianapolis.

Secretary—G. P. Wilson, Tipton. Treasurer—Walter A. Ponder, Indianapolis.

WORTH A GUINEA A BOX.

BEECHAM'S PILLS

(Tasteless—Effective)

FOR ALL BILIOUS AND NERVOUS DISORDERS.

Such as Sick Headache, Wind and Pain in the Stomach, Giddiness, Fullness, Swelling after Meals, Dizziness, Drowsiness, Chills, Flushes of Heat, Loss of Appetite, Shortness of Breath, Constipation, Scanty Stools on the Skin, Disturbed Sleep, Prolonged Dreams, All Nervous and Trembling Sensations, and Irritability incident to Ladies.

Covered with a Tasteless and Soluble Coating. On all druggists. Price 25 cents a box. New York Depot, 47 Canal St.

## Unlike the Dutch Process

No Alkalies

Other Chemicals

are used in the preparation of

W. BAKER & CO.'S

Breakfast Cocoa

which is absolutely pure and soluble.

It has more than three times the strength of Cocoa mixed with Sugar, and is far more economical, costing less than one cent per pound.

It is delicious, nourishing, and EASILY DIGESTED.

Sold by Grocers everywhere.

W. BAKER & CO., Dorchester, Mass.

PENNSYLVANIA WRECK.

One Killed and Fourteen Injured in New Jersey.

JERSEY CITY, N. J., Jan. 19.—There was a double collision on the Pennsylvania railroad west of the Hackensack river near this city this evening. Although the Newark train was crowded only one passenger was killed. He was John Blawett of Newark. He was standing on the front platform of the rear car and thrown between the bumpers and crushed. There were fourteen persons injured, two of them fatally. Henry Martindale, engineer of the Newark locomotive, had crawled under his engine to find out what part of the machinery had broken, and when the collision occurred the impact threw him under the wheels. His leg was broken and he was internally injured.

Mrs. A. Decker, Newark, had her collar bone and two ribs broken. She will probably die.

The other injured passengers are as follows:

Mrs. WASHINGTON STANBURY, compound fracture of right leg.

GEORGE B. ESTEY, New York, leg broken.

PATRICK McLEAN, ankle broken.

MOSSES GINGERS, head cut and back injured.

G. W. ASHLEY, head cut.

CHARLES P. SWAIN, cut on head and arm.

LEONARD F. POPE, head cut.

E. K. OSBORN, leg broken.

WILLIAM H. PHILLIPS, spine injured.

WOLF SCHLIDRAITZ, leg broken.

Mrs. HENRIETTA BAKER, wrist broken.

Mrs. R. S. BENTLEY, head cut.

The injured were brought to this city, where ambulances and physicians had been summoned.

A YOUTH'S FAST LIFE.

Millionaire Lintings' Son Stops Short in Paris, Hoplessly Insane.

SAN FRANCISCO, Jan. 18.—A cablegram from Paris announces that John Luning, son of the late millionaire Nicholas Luning, of San Francisco, is hopelessly insane and is confined in a French institution. Young Luning, with his brother, inherited a fortune of several millions from his father. The latter was noted for close fastness in money matters, and although enormously rich, would allow his son John no support. John worked as an elevator boy in Chicago and New York, but ceased work in the latter city and commenced borrowing money on notes to be paid after his father's death. He lived a wild life in New York until he could raise no more money and then came to San Francisco and repeated the performance. After his father's death and young Luning had paid his debts, he started on a trip around the world in the yacht Arcturion, which he purchased in Boston. With a half dozen friends Luning sailed from New York for Europe just before the winter storms commenced and nothing had been heard from him for several weeks. Luning will remain in Paris until some disposition of him is ordered by his brother, who lives in San Jose.

JERKED HIS HEAD OFF.

An Awful Scene at an Execution at Glasgow.

GLASGOW, Jan. 18.—A horrible scene occurred today at the execution of William McEwan for the murder of a woman at West Pollokshields. McEwan, after committing the crime, had attempted to commit suicide by cutting his throat. It was thought that he would die from the effect of the wound but the doctor succeeded in saving him for the gallows, although his neck was lacerated in a very weak condition. When he was brought out for execution this morning, the mark of the self-inflicted wound could plainly be seen. The condemned man was given a drop of seven feet. He walked without apparent fear to the gallows and the rope was adjusted. When the knot was drawn an awful spectacle was witnessed, which caused even the experienced prison officials to turn pale. The man's head was nearly torn off and blood gushed out over his body and the gallows. Death had been instantaneous. Much indignation is expressed against the authorities for not having taken precautions against such an occurrence.

A COUNTERFEITER'S DEATH.

While at Work He Is Overcome by the Fumes from His Crucible.

INDIANA, Pa., Jan. 18.—Barney Black died near Salisbury Sunday night, suddenly, and a rumor gained circulation that he had committed suicide. Next day the coroner began an investigation. From the testimony given it appears that Black was engaged in the manufacture of counterfeit coins, confining his operations principally to the manufacture of nickels. It was while he was engaged in making an amalgamation of brass and copper, using nitric acid in the work, that he was overcome by the fumes arising from the crucible employed, and before proper medical treatment could be secured he died.

INDIANA PATENT AND PENSION AGENCY, 1420 NEW YORK AVE., N. W., WASHINGTON, D. C., Jan. 17.

THE SENTINEL patent and pension agency is furnished the following list of patents granted today to Indians:

Frank Aderson, Shoshone, wheeled harrow; Albert Baker, Fort Wayne, apparatus for preserving food; William H. Bender and N. T. Tillery, assignors of one-third to J. H. Hartman, Fort Wayne, sleigh attachment for bicycles; Peter Hark, Michigan City, tension device for wire fences; Joseph E. Hart, assignor one-half to J. Hollingsworth Fowler, governor for mechanical motors; James S. Moore, Sullivan, assignor of one-half to W. S. Bristol, Flat Rock, can-filing machine; Robert F. Porch, Spiceland, step-ladder.

## SUNDAY THOUGHTS

MORALS AND MANNERS

BY A CLERGYMAN.

The art of discrimination is, if not a lost art, hardly a discovered one. Ginzot made it the test of civilization and thus remanded the greater part of the human race into barbarism. Most of the theological wars have been fought over misunderstandings caused by a fast and loose habit of definition. A careful definition will nine times out of ten, pacify and amity disputants. Take, for instance, the old quarrel between the Calvinists and the Arminians. The latter affirm that the will is free; the former maintain that the will is not free. Both are right, and a proper definition would harmonize the two schools. In the realm of action the will is free—volition is uncontrolled here. In the realm of feeling the will is not free. Who can will himself into love, or into generosity, or into any feeling. We can act as though we loved or were generous, and obey *Humboldt's* injunction, "As though you had loved." But the will, free in action, is powerless in feeling. This distinction should bring Calvinists and Arminians into accord. They agree, in fact, and only quarrel over terms.

Just so in the matter of election and reprobation. Certain theologians so define election as to make it an arbitrary act on the part of God, who is pictured as from eternity to save some and doom others, whether they will or no. This makes the Almighty an infinite Nero. The true definition of election is that it is a moral judgment of God, based on his free knowledge, whereby those who accept the offered salvation pass into happiness, while those who reject it lapse into misery. The happiness of the saved and the misery of the lost are conditioned absolutely not upon God's arbitrary choice, but on character—on what they are and do. Both election and reprobation are therefore conditional, never arbitrary.

The following extract from a recent novel, exhibits manner, if not morals in a curious way: "Husband and wife ate together in silence. There was manifestly an ill-will and feeling between them. The husband devoured a plate of soup, half a fish, a piece of roast beef and a slice of plum pudding, without even once opening his mouth." How on earth did he manage it?

God demands of us our best. Nothing more is possible. Nothing less is enough. There is no second best in morals—there is only right and wrong.

"If we could get rid of the tremendous war and governmental machinery," says Charles Dudley Warner, "life would be a sort of picnic and then women would come even more to the front, for they manage a picnic much better than men do or can. This is the highest sort of compliment, for woman has a head for organization, and details and economy, as is sufficiently evident in the most highly civilized nations. The war and the government are the last resort of a man who is pre-eminently in business matters."

Gambling is a world-old and a world-wide vice. Next to licentiousness and drunkenness it ranks as a producer of crime. Always and everywhere it is an enemy of public morals. Hence it is under the universal frown of law and order. The best and earliest measure for its eradication is the prevalence of gambling among us is marked—increasing. The enormous sum of \$2,398,372 was stolen in 1890 as a direct result of it. If we had the figures for '91 and '92 they would be even larger.

Worst of all, gambling in some of its most dangerous and fascinating forms, is encouraged and patronized by our commercial usages and social customs. We advise the public to read novel reading and dancing and card playing and for awhile to show up this devil—the slyest and most conventional in the whole satanic brood. We have seen what the aroused moral sense of America can do in the destruction of the Louisiana lottery. Let those who read between the lines and carry brain behind their eyes, seize this same thunderbolt and hurl it at gambling in whatever form—whether on the turf or in a church fair rally.

Somebody has discovered at this late day that the wife of Christopher Columbus was a woman of rare gifts and great enthusiasm for science, writes Margaret Sangster, and that she was the daughter of a skillful and daring navigator, whose charts and compasses descended to her. The inference is that she inspired her husband, or at least, exhorted him to his great voyage, and that she was not so thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given to the discoverer of a new continent.

There are men who fail, as Dr. Lydgate failed in "Middlemarch," because they are content with what they have, and do not go thoroughly, that to her belongs part, at least, of the credit given